



COUNTY LOCAL HOMELESSNESS PLAN TEMPLATE

HOUSING AND HOMELESSNESS INCENTIVE PROGRAM

May 9, 2022

PURPOSE OF THIS LOCAL HOMELESSNESS PLAN TEMPLATE


The Housing and Homelessness Incentive Program (HHIP) is a voluntary incentive program through which Medi-Cal Managed Care Plans (MCPs) may earn incentive funds for improving health outcomes and access to whole person care services by addressing homelessness and housing insecurity as social drivers of health and health disparities.

The California Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) is providing this Local Homelessness Plan (LHP) Template as a required submission component for MCPs seeking to participate in HHIP.

MCPs must collaborate with their local Continuums of Care (CoCs) and, if applicable, other MCPs operating in the same county to complete **one county Local Homelessness Plan (LHP)** (this Word file template). In addition, **each MCP** must complete a unique MCP LHP (see separate Excel template for this submission). For counties where multiple MCPs operate, the MCPs should select one organization to submit the county LHP to DHCS. Unique MPC LHP Excel files can be submitted separately to DHCS.

Completed county and unique MCP LHPs should be submitted to DHCS by June 30, 2022.

LHP Submission Components



Part I of the LHP Template is based on **unique MCP responses**. Parts II and III include **both** aggregate county-wide and MCP-specific responses; and Part IV is an **aggregate county-wide response**.

- **PART I: HHIP MEASURES**

This section includes the required measures each MCP must complete. As noted above, this section should be completed by **EACH MCP**, providing only MCP-specific information. MCPs must provide quantitative and narrative responses to these measures, which are intended to provide DHCS with information on current regional progress on and upcoming goals for each of the three HHIP priority areas:

- (i) **Partnerships and capacity** to support referrals for services;
- (ii) **Infrastructure** to coordinate and meet member housing needs; and
- (iii) **Delivery** of services and member engagement.

See excel template for this unique MCP LHP submission.

- **PART II: MCP STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS IDENTIFIED HOUSING AND SERVICE GAPS**

This section provides MCPs with a format to describe their specific strategies for addressing identified housing and service gaps in their service areas as well as how these strategies align with the aggregate county approach (as determined by all CoCs and MCPs collaborating in this county). The county approach should align with and aim to meet HHAP Outcome Goals. As noted above, this section includes *both* (1) an aggregate county-wide response and (2) MCP-specific responses, where *each MCP* must provide separate responses. See excel template for this unique MCP LHP submission.

- **PART III: LANDSCAPE ANALYSIS OF DEMOGRAPHICS, NEEDS, AND GAPS**

This section establishes a format for MCPs to provide the following required information:

- (i) A **local landscape analysis** that assess the current number of people experiencing homelessness and existing resources which address homelessness. This landscape analysis is intended to align with the *Homeless Housing, Assistance and Prevention Program Round 3 (HHAP-3)* application landscape analysis, which utilizes data from the Homeless Management Integration System (HMIS), point-in-time (PIT) counts, and other local needs assessments.
- (ii) **Identification of the number of individuals and families served.** This response should include information on the demographics of those served, types of intervention services provided, and demographics of subpopulations that are underserved, relative to the proportion of individuals in that subpopulation experiencing homelessness.

- **PART IV: IDENTIFICATION OF FUNDING AVAILABILITY**

This section requests the following required information be attached as an appendix:

- (i) **Identification of available funding currently being used, and budgeted to be used, to provide housing and homelessness-related services** to persons experiencing homelessness or at imminent risk of homelessness, as described in the HHAP-3 assessment of state, federal, and local funds available.

LHP SUBMISSION STRUCTURE

The following LHP Template for HHIP funding must be submitted in in full, including the following four parts:

- **PART I: HHIP Measures** completed by each MCP in the unique MCP LHP submission. See excel template for this unique MCP LHP submission.
- **PART II: MCP Strategies**, which includes both aggregate and unique MCP components, with county-wide strategies submitted as a part of this county LHP and MCP-specific components included in the unique MCP LHP submission
- **PART III: Landscape Analysis**, which includes both aggregate and unique MCP components, with the county-wide analysis submitted as a part of this county LHP and MCP-specific analyses included in the unique MCP LHP submission
- **PART IV: Funding Availability Assessment** included as an appendix to this county LHP submission

MCP INFORMATION

Provide the name of the county for this county LHP submission and list the MCP(s) participating in the submission (each MCP is also required to submit a unique MCP LHP using the Excel template provided by DHCS).

County Name		
Riverside County		
MCP Name	Lead Contact Person Name and Title	Contact Email Address
Inland Empire Health Plan	Matthew Wray, Director, Health Services Special Initiatives	Wray-m@iehp.org
Molina Healthcare	Hannah Kim, Director, Healthcare Services	Hannah.kim1@molinahealthcare.com

PART I: HHIP MEASURES

Each MCP participating in HHIP must complete the HHIP Measures via the MCP LHP submission. See MCP LHP excel template provided by DHCS.

Part I scoring: 190 points available

PART II: MCP STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS IDENTIFIED HOUSING AND SERVICE GAPS

MCPs are required to work together, and with local partners, to identify key components of the county strategy in preventing and reducing homelessness over the two-year program period of January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2023. The strategy should be informed by, and align with, the findings from the local landscape analysis (Part III of this LHP).

In preparing this narrative response, MCPs are strongly encouraged to use and/or adapt content from: (1) local strategic plans or action plans for preventing and ending homelessness; (2) prior HHAP applications and reporting; (3) the CoC's most recent applications under the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)'s Continuum of Care program; (4) and/or other relevant local policy documents or plans.

All MCPs in the county should collaborate to provide one narrative response in the space provided below detailing the overall county approach in the space below. MCPs will also submit their own strategy (in the MCP Excel submission), which should align with the county strategy detailed below. See excel template for this unique MCP Part II submission.

Part II scoring: 100 points available (inclusive of both the county submission and unique MCP submission).

STRATEGIES TO ADDRESS IDENTIFIED HOUSING AND SERVICE GAPS IN THE COUNTY

County Narrative Description (200-word limit)

IEHP and Molina Healthcare are committed to a collaborative effort to reduce and prevent homelessness in Riverside County and ensure Medi-Cal members have access to housing services. To build consensus around our strategies and gain community input for our Housing and Homelessness Incentive Program (HHIP) County Local Homelessness Plan, both Plans are committed to collaborating and to furthering communication with the local Continuum of Care to align efforts. Both health plans are resolved to address identified housing and service gaps in alignment with the County of Riverside Department of Housing and Workforce Solutions and Continuum of Care Homeless Action Plan by building and broadening local partnerships, and will work together on the following strategies:

- 1) Engage with the County Housing Authority to better understand the challenges within the County CES and address access point issues.
- 2) Partner with County HMIS to address data sharing/matching gaps and work towards member matching for individuals experiencing homeless, and the ability to align health plan member count with the PIT count.
- 3) Collaborate to address adequate network of Providers within the County to meet demand, b) increase outreach and engagement efforts (i.e., Street Medicine), and c) improve accessibility of housing services and supports.

PART III: LANDSCAPE ANALYSIS OF COUNTY DEMOGRAPHICS, NEEDS, AND GAPS

A. Landscape Analysis of County Needs and Demographics

All MCPs in the county should collaborate to provide a county-wide landscape analysis, using the table below, of current county needs and demographics, in alignment with the HHAP-3¹ landscape analysis utilizing relevant data from the Homeless Management Integration System (HMIS), 2019 PIT count data,² and other local needs assessments. See excel template for this unique MCP Part III submission.

If data is unavailable for the MCPs in the county, the MCPs must detail their approach to improving data connectivity and identification for future submissions, including timing for implementing the approach. Please submit this as a written attachment using no more than 1000 characters.

Please use TABLE 1 below to provide one county-wide response outlining key data regarding people experiencing homelessness in the county.

Part III scoring: 80 points available (inclusive of both the county submission and unique MCP submission).

TABLE 1 (EXAMPLE ONLY — San Francisco County):

	County	
	People Experiencing Homelessness	Source and Date Timeframe of Data
Population and Living Situations		
TOTAL # OF PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS	8,035	HUD PIT Count, 2019

¹ MCPs may also reference HHAP Round 2 (HHAP-2) applications if additional context is helpful for them, or if Round 3 applications are not yet available

² DHCS recommends using 2019 PIT count as it is the most recent count that includes unsheltered individuals, or the 2022 PIT count if it's locally available as it will be the most recent information

Table 1. Landscape Analysis of County Needs and Demographics		
	Number of People Experiencing Homelessness	Source and Date Timeframe of Data
Population and Living Situations		
TOTAL # OF PEOPLE EXPERIENCING HOMELESSNESS	3,316	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are Sheltered (Emergency Shelter (ES), Transitional Housing (TH), Supportive Housing (SH))	1,336	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are Unsheltered	1,980	2022 PIT Data
Household Composition		
# of Households without Children	1,825	2022 PIT Data
# of Households with At Least 1 Adult & 1 Child	█	2022 PIT Data
# of Households with Only Children	19	2022 PIT Data
Sub-Populations and Other Characteristics		
# of Adults Who are Experiencing Chronic Homelessness	816	2022 PIT Data
# of Adults Who are Experiencing Serious Mental Illness	477	2022 PIT Data
# of Adults Who are Experiencing Substance Use Disorders	473	2022 PIT Data
# of Adults Who are Veterans	195	2022 PIT Data

# of Adults with HIV/AIDS	25	2022 PIT Data
# of Adults Who are Survivors of Domestic Violence	95	2022 PIT Data
# of Unaccompanied Youth (under 25)	313	2022 PIT Data
# of Parenting Youth (under 25)	█	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are Children of Parenting Youth	█	2022 PIT Data
Gender Demographics		
# of Women/Girls	1,185	2022 PIT Data
# of Men/Boys	2,111	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are Transgender	█	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are Gender Non-Conforming	11	2022 PIT Data)
Ethnicity and Race Demographics		
# of People Who are Hispanic/Latino	1,169	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	2,147	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are Black or African American	632	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are Asian	36	2022 PIT Data

# of People Who are American Indian or Alaska Native	110	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	27	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are White	1,070	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who are Multiple Races	272	2022 PIT Data
# of People Who did Not Disclose	N/A	N/A

* Data has been suppressed per Data De-identification Guidelines.

B. Landscape Analysis of People Receiving Services

Please use **TABLE 2** to report the total number of individuals served county-wide. The data provided within Table 2 should represent **the most current and accurate way of estimating the annual number and demographics** of people participating in or being served by the different intervention types, including subpopulations that are underserved relative to their proportion of individuals experiencing homelessness in the jurisdiction. It is important to note that intervention types are not mutually exclusive, and individuals and households may be counted in multiple categories.

MCP(s) must cite their data sources. If data is unavailable for the MCP county submission, the MCP(s) must detail the approach to improving data connectivity and identification for future submissions, including timing for implementing the approach. Please submit this as a written attachment using no more than 500 words.

Table 2 uses the following service terms:

Interim Housing	Any program whose primary purpose is to provide temporary shelter for people experiencing homelessness, in general or for specific populations, and which does not require occupants to sign leases or occupancy agreements. Interim housing can include congregate shelter, bridge housing, temporary scattered-site arrangements, and transitional housing programs, among others.
Rental Assistance	Rental assistance programs provide subsidies that help people experiencing homelessness rent housing in the marketplace. Rental assistance typically pays a portion of the total rent and can be temporary or permanent and offered in a variety of program types.
Supportive Housing	Supportive housing is permanent housing for a specific target population—generally people with disabilities/special needs and long histories of homelessness—that is linked to onsite or offsite services that assist the resident to retain the housing, improve their health status, and maximize their ability to live and, when possible, work in the community.
Outreach	Programs that identify and engage people living in unsheltered locations, such as in cars, parks, abandoned buildings, encampments, and on the streets, reaching people who might not otherwise seek assistance or come to the attention of the homelessness service system. Outreach and engagement programs help to ensure that people’s basic needs are met while also supporting people to access and navigate pathways toward housing stability.
Prevention/Diversion	Programs that seek to prevent people from losing current housing or to prevent the need for services from the homelessness response system through a rapid return to housing, usually without expectation of ongoing support.

Table 2. Landscape Analysis of County Services

	Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH)	Rapid Rehousing (RRH)	Transitional Housing (TH)	Interim Housing or Emergency Shelter (IH / ES)	Diversion Services and Assistance (DIV)	Homelessness Prevention Services & Assistance (HP)	Outreach and Engagement Services (O/R)	Other: [Identify]	Source(s) and Time-frame of Data
Household Composition									
# of Households without Children	940	652	80	2,951	N/A	249	1,716		2021 Stella Report
# of Households with At Least 1 Adult & 1 Child	107	674	█	344	N/A	310	36		2021 Stella Report
# of Households with Only Children	0	█	0	237	N/A	█	15		2021 Stella Report
Sub-Populations and Other Characteristics									
# of Adults Who are Experiencing Chronic Homelessness	146	256	█	665	N/A	█	727		2021 Stella Report
# of Adults Who are Experiencing Serious Mental Illness	600	60	21	733	N/A	13	646		2021 Stella Report
# of Adults Who are Experiencing	40	█	0	43	N/A	█	16		2021 Stella Report

Substance Use Disorders									
# of Adults Who are Veterans	491	208	43	195	N/A	56	144		2021 Stella Report
# of Adults with HIV/AIDS	21	█	0	42	N/A	0	27		2021 Stella Report
# of Adults Who are Survivors of Domestic Violence	141	172	█	412	N/A	14	135		2021 Stella Report
# of Un-accompanied Youth (under 25)	0	█	0	233	N/A	█	15		2021 Stella Report
# of Parenting Youth (under 25)	█	92	0	HDIS breakdown provides the total household with children but does not	N/A	Breakdown not available on HDIS, Stella or LSA	Breakdown not available on HDIS, Stella or LSA		2021 Stella Report
# of People Who are Children of Parenting Youth	█	119	0	HDIS breakdown provides the total household with children but does not	N/A	Breakdown not available on HDIS, Stella or LSA	Breakdown not available on HDIS, Stella or LSA		2021 Stella Report
Gender Demographics									
# of Women/Girls	429	77	23	1,720	N/A	819	756		2021 Stella Report

# of Men/Boys	791	15	56	2,354	N/A	673	1,125		2021 Stella Report
# of People Who are Transgender	█	0	█	15	N/A	█	█		2021 Stella Report
# of People Who are Gender Non-Conforming	█	0	█	█	N/A	█	█		2021 Stella Report
# of People Who are Hispanic/Latino	245	33	37	1,655	N/A	534	577		2021 Stella Report
# of People Who are Non-Hispanic/Non-Latino	982	59	46	2,413	N/A	897	1,265		2021 Stella Report
# of People Who are Black or African American	326	33	23	969	N/A	335	346		2021 Stella Report
# of People Who are Asian	█	█	█	43	N/A	15	16		2021 Stella Report
# of People Who are American Indian or Alaska Native	27	█	█	82	N/A	█	45		2021 Stella Report
# of People Who are Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	█	█	█	27	N/A	13	11		2021 Stella Report

# of People Who are White	560	19	14	1,151	N/A	360	807		2021 Stella Report
# of People Who are Multiple Races	245	33	37	1,655	N/A	534	577		2021 Stella Report

* Data has been suppressed per Data De-identification Guidelines.

PART IV: IDENTIFICATION OF FUNDING AVAILABILITY

Please submit the HHAP-3 assessment of funding availability for your county (or the HHAP-2 assessment, if the HHAP-3 assessment is unavailable) as an appendix to this LHP Template.

Materials for each round of HHAP can be accessed on the [HHAP website](#).

Part IV scoring: 10 points available



HOMELESS HOUSING, ASSISTANCE, AND PREVENTION (HHAP) ROUND 3 BASE ALLOCATIONS

CONTINUUM OF CARE	ALLOCATION
Alpine, Inyo, Mono Counties CoC	\$ 320,291.99
Amador, Calaveras, Mariposa, Tuolumne Counties CoC	\$ 1,264,704.34
Bakersfield/Kern County CoC	\$ 1,990,599.73
Chico, Paradise/Butte County CoC	\$ 1,894,811.47
Colusa, Glenn, Trinity Counties CoC	\$ 287,364.77
Daly/San Mateo County CoC	\$ 2,262,997.58
Davis, Woodland/Yolo County CoC	\$ 980,332.95
El Dorado County CoC	\$ 917,471.90
Fresno City & County/Madera County CoC	\$ 3,753,702.34
Glendale CoC	\$ 363,696.04
Humboldt County CoC	\$ 2,547,368.97
Imperial County CoC	\$ 2,114,825.12
Lake County CoC	\$ 610,650.14
Long Beach CoC	\$ 2,834,733.75
Los Angeles City & County CoC	\$ 84,199,375.07
Marin County CoC	\$ 1,547,579.04
Mendocino County CoC	\$ 1,174,902.85
Merced County CoC	\$ 909,988.45
Napa City & County CoC	\$ 481,934.67
Nevada County CoC	\$ 621,126.98
Oakland, Berkeley/Alameda County CoC	\$ 12,006,459.41
Oxnard, San Buenaventura/Ventura County CoC	\$ 2,497,978.15
Pasadena CoC	\$ 811,206.81
Redding/Shasta, Siskiyou, Lassen, Plumas, Del Norte, Modoc, Sierra Counties CoC	\$ 2,019,036.87
Richmond/Contra Costa County CoC	\$ 3,434,907.05
Riverside City & County CoC	\$ 4,207,199.87
Roseville, Rocklin/Placer County CoC	\$ 923,458.67
Sacramento City & County CoC	\$ 8,323,101.56
Salinas/Monterey, San Benito Counties CoC	\$ 4,047,053.88
San Bernardino City & County CoC	\$ 3,901,874.80
San Diego City and County CoC *	\$ 13,709,694.36
San Francisco CoC	\$ 12,025,916.40

CITY	ALLOCATION
Anaheim**	\$ 10,290,351.69
Bakersfield	\$ 3,990,136.37
Fresno	\$ 7,524,257.15
Long Beach	\$ 5,682,194.20
Los Angeles***	\$ 143,640,000.00
Oakland	\$ 24,066,822.52
Riverside	\$ 8,433,288.22
Sacramento	\$ 16,683,570.18
San Diego *	\$ 27,480,939.20
San Francisco	\$ 24,105,823.85
San Jose	\$ 29,118,995.18
Santa Ana**	\$ 10,290,351.69
Stockton	\$ 7,893,269.76

COUNTY	ALLOCATION
Alameda	\$ 11,206,028.78
Alpine	\$ -
Amador	\$ 298,939.19
Butte	\$ 1,768,490.70
Calaveras	\$ 259,825.65
Colusa	\$ 78,227.08
Contra Costa	\$ 3,205,913.24
Del Norte	\$ 257,031.82
El Dorado	\$ 856,307.11
Fresno	\$ 2,976,819.66
Glenn	\$ 79,623.99
Humboldt	\$ 2,377,544.38
Imperial	\$ 1,973,836.78
Inyo	\$ 202,552.25
Kern	\$ 1,857,893.08
Kings	\$ 349,228.02
Lake	\$ 569,940.13
Lassen	\$ 64,257.96
Los Angeles	\$ 82,328,410.88
Madera	\$ 526,635.86
Marin	\$ 1,444,407.10
Mariposa	\$ 83,814.73
Mendocino	\$ 1,096,575.99
Merced	\$ 849,322.55
Modoc	\$ 6,984.56
Mono	\$ 96,386.93
Monterey	\$ 3,381,924.17
Napa	\$ 449,805.69
Nevada	\$ 579,718.52
Orange	\$ 9,582,816.93
Placer	\$ 861,894.76
Plumas	\$ 64,257.96
Riverside	\$ 3,926,719.88

